Zebrafish-Based Review Small Molecule Discovery

Calum A. MacRae and Randall T. Peterson* Developmental Biology Laboratory and Cardiovascular Research Center

The earliest examples of small molecule discovery in-
volved serendipitous phenotypic observations in whole
organisms, but this organism-based process has given
wav in recent decades to systematic, bigh-throughput
Navy in way in recent decades to systematic, high-throughput
assays using purified proteins, cells, or cell extracts are tempts to systematize whole-organism chemical screenassays using purified proteins, cells, or cell extracts.
In vitro screens have been successful at identifying ing were made. In 1907, Paul Ehrlich tested 900 arsenical
modifiers of well-understood biological processes comp **modifiers of well-understood biological processes, compounds on mice infected with trypanosomes [4].** but they are limited in their ability to discover modifiers
of processes that are poorly understood or occur only
in an integrated physiological context. Small model
organisms, especially the zebrafish, make it possible
to **molecule discovery with the technologies and through- 50,000 mice in the process) [5]. Therefore, despite a** put of modern screening. The combination of model
organisms with high-throughput screening is likely to
extend small molecule discovery efforts to fields of
study such as developmental biology and to broaden
the range of d

ultimately to characterization of the causative molecules.

power of whole organism, phenotype-based compound discovery, practical and ethical factors have limited the overall utility of the approach. The examples above in-Massachusetts General Hospital **volved unplanned exposures of cattle, sheep**, and hu-**Charlestown, Massachusetts 02129 mans to small molecules, followed by astute observation of the results. Planned, systematic exposure of mammals to uncharacterized small molecules for the purpose**

Introduction

Organism-based approaches to small molecule discov-

ery are not new. Prior to advances in in vitro screening in

the 1970s, a significant proportion of biologically active

small molecules were discovered ba

For example, diccurnary was discovery to the 1930s

inexpliced
by of internal blending after having teel on the 1930s

inexpliced
by of internal blending after having teel on rot-

The ascendance of in viro, target-based a **be targeted to reverse a disease phenotype or to alter *Correspondence: peterson@cvrc.mgh.harvard.edu a poorly understood organismal process. Consequently,**

target-based approaches continue to identify small mol- Zebrafish as a Model Organism ecule modulators of a relatively small group of targets, The zebrafish is rapidly gaining popularity as a model while novel therapeutic targets await validation by other organism for developmental biology and genetics means. Moreover, fields of study such as developmental [7–10]. The attributes that have made the zebrafish such biology receive little benefit from the discovery of new a powerful model for genetic screening also make it well molecules. Like other chemical genetic screens [6], or- suited for small molecule screening. First, unlike the fruit ganismal screens permit discovery of new pathways and fly, round worm, baker's yeast, or other popular genetic targets, but they also expand the range of observable model organisms, the zebrafish is a vertebrate. It posphenotypes to include those affecting development, sesses discrete organs and tissues such as a brain, physiology, and behavior. sensory organs, heart, liver, pancreas, kidneys, intes-

compound discovery is the physiological context they are remarkably similar to their human counterparts at provide. Target-based discovery is usually performed the anatomical, physiological, and molecular levels, fausing purified proteins, tissue culture cells, or cell ex- cilitating study of vertebrate biological processes that are inaccessible using invertebrate model organisms. tracts in in vitro assays. Small molecules discovered in this in vitro context may have unexpected activity in
an in vivo context. Initial hits must be tested further reach 3 cm in length, but during the embryonic and larval
in animals for offectiveness, side offects, toxicity, in animals for effectiveness, side effects, toxicity, and
pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profile. The vast
maiority of small molecules discovered by in vitro target.
in a single well of a standard 384-well plate, survivin **majority of small molecules discovered by in vitro target- in a single well of a standard 384-well plate, surviving** based screening exhibit undesirable characteristics

(such as lack of specificity or toxicity) when tested in their yolk sacs (Figure 2B). Zebra-

(such as lack of specificity or toxicity) when tested in

molecule discover

*rhabditis elegans***,** *Drosophila melanogaster***,** *Arabidop-* **the locations or activities of specific populations of cells** *sis thaliana***, and the zebrafish** *Danio rerio* **are all small (Figure 2C). For example, dozens of transgenic zebrafish enough to grow in microformat screening plates. Among lines have been created that express fluorescent proto humans and is perhaps best established as a tool [11] to the pituitary gland [12]. These lines greatly facilifor small molecule discovery. This review will focus on tate detection of anatomical changes caused by small studies involving zebrafish, with the recognition that molecules. Fluorescent assays have also been develmany of the ideas discussed may be applicable to other oped that report changes in zebrafish physiology. These model organisms as well. assays include a transgenic line in which expression of**

Figure 1. Organism- versus Target-Based Small Molecule Discovery

Target-based discovery, such as discovery of cox-2 inhibitors (top), begins with selection of a molecular target, followed by screening for chemical modifiers of the target's activity. The true biological effect (phenotype) is only determined at the end of the process when efficacy and safety are tested in animals and man. In contrast, organism-based discovery begins with detection of desirable phenotypes in whole organisms and may lead to discovery of novel targets. For example, the discovery of dicumarol began as an observation of hemorrhaging in cattle and proceded to identification of vitamin K epoxide reductase as its target (bottom).

The second advantage of using whole organisms for tines, bones, muscles, etc. (Figure 2A). Zebrafish organs

teins in locations ranging from the presomitic mesoderm

Figure 2. Zebrafish Attributes Facilitate High-Throughput Screening

(A) Zebrafish larvae 6 days post fertilization possess most of the tissues and organs of the fully developed vertebrate.

(B) Zebrafish embryos fit easily in the wells of a standard 384-well compound screening plate.

(C) The visibility of anatomic features can be increased by tissue-specific expression of fluorescent proteins. The larva shown expresses green fluorescent protein in the pituitary, driven by the proopiomelanocortin gene promoter [12] (image courtesy of S. Lin and N. Liu).

(D and E) Lipid metabolism can be rapidly assayed using fluorescently quenched phospholipids. Cleavage in vivo is manifest by accumulation of fluorescent products in the gall bladder. *fat free* **mutants process the substrate less efficiently, resulting in decreased fluorescence. (Images in [D] and [E] are reprinted with permission from [15]. Copyright 2001, American Association for the Advancement of Science.)**

green fluorescent protein is induced upon activation of Discovery of Chemical Probes the aryl hydrocarbon receptor [13, 14]. These lines have for Developmental Biology been proposed for use as sentinels for automated detec- Perhaps the most obvious application for zebrafish tion of fresh water toxicants that activate the aryl hydro- chemical screening is the discovery of small molecule carbon receptor. Similar lines could be engineered for probes for developmental biology. The zebrafish is well high-throughput screening for chemical activators of established as a model organism for developmental biolany gene of interest. In another assay, lipid metabolism ogy. Forward genetic screens have been successful at is measured in vivo based on a fluorescent signal gener- identifying thousands of mutations that affect developated by cleavage of quenched phospholipid substrates ment of nearly every organ system [21, 22]. The screen- [15]. Larval zebrafish are fed fluorescently quenched ing methodologies used for identifying zebrafish genetic phospholipids that are cleaved in vivo and transported mutants are readily adapted for chemical screening, and to the gall bladder where they are readily visualized the collection of mutants provides us with some sense (Figures 2D and 2E). In short, the transparency of the of the kinds of phenotypes that may be expected. zebrafish embryo makes it possible to rapidly assess The simplest screens for chemical modifiers of develthe effects of small molecules on many aspects of anat- opment involve arraying water and wild-type embryos omy or physiology. into the wells of 96- or 384-well plates, adding small

tional technologies have been developed that have in- wells, and allowing development to proceed. At predecreased the utility of the system even further. The zebra- termined stages of development, the embryos are fish genome project is now nearly complete, and DNA screened visually for developmental perturbations in the microarrays have been generated for expression profil- system(s) of interest (Figure 3). In one such screen, four ing studies [16, 17]. Cloning of zebrafish by nuclear transfer organ systems—the central nervous system, the cardiohas been accomplished [18], and antisense morpholino vascular system, the ear, and the skin—were examined oligonucleotides have proven to be an effective means using a dissecting microscope [23]. After screening 1100 of "knocking down" gene function [19]. More recently, small molecules, modifiers of all four systems were idenreverse genetic approaches have been developed for tified. One compound called 32N5 causes a malformathe zebrafish, enabling researchers to generate mutations tion of the hindbrain. Another, 32P6, causes a heart in virtually any gene of interest [20]. Thus, the zebrafish patterning alteration in which the ventricle forms within, is rapidly becoming a mature model organism armed with rather than adjacent to, the atrium. Two compounds an impressive collection of genomic and experimental affect the development of melanocytes in the skin. One tools. These tools are also broadening the scope of of these blocks pigment production in all cells by inhib**whole-organism chemical screens that can be imagined. iting the enzyme tyrosinase. The other prevents devel-**

As zebrafish have become more widely used, addi- molecules from a chemical library to the water in the

Figure 3. Screening for Chemical Modifiers of Vertebrate Development

Adult zebrafish lay hundreds of fertilized eggs each morning. Embryos are arrayed in assay plates, and compounds from small molecule libraries are added to the water in each well. Embryos are allowed to develop and are screened visually for developmental defects. Examples of specific developmental phenotypes include elongation of the notochord (A), absence of blood ([B], untreated, upper; treated, lower), and loss of a single otolith in the ear (C).

opment of only a subset of pigmented cells that are of structures at various developmental time points [24]. derived from the neural crest. Apparently, this com- Because genetic mutation is generally permanent, it is pound blocks the specification of neural crest cells to often difficult to distinguish the role of a gene at a later **become melanocytes or their subsequent proliferation. stage of development from secondary effects of disrupting In other screens, small molecules have been identified the gene at earlier stages. In contrast, small molecules that block differentiation of blood cells, prevent forma- can be added and removed at any stage of development. tion of the eyes, alter the length of the notochord, or The sonic hedgehog (Shh) pathway is one of the few affect fin length (unpublished results). Thus, the range developmental pathways for which a specific small molof developmental phenotypes that can be identified by ecule modifier exists. Cyclopamine, which antagonizes small molecule screens seems almost limitless. the sonic hedgehog effector** *smoothened* **[25], has proven**

cule developmental screens appear to be potent and pathway in later developmental events. One recent illusspecific [23]. The molecule 32P6 affects heart chamber tration of this was the use of cyclopamine to determine patterning with an EC50 of 2 nM. Although less potent, how different muscle cell types are specified in the deanother molecule (31N3) causes a remarkably specific veloping myotome [26]. During zebrafish myotome dedevelopmental phenotype. Embryos treated with 31N3 velopment, the specification of three muscle cell types fail to form the two tiny otoliths of the inner ear, while the muscle pioneers, the superficial slow fibers, and **the rest of embryo appears to develop normally. The medial fast fibers—are all dependent upon Shh. Wolff specificity of the phenotypes identified by chemical et al. elegantly showed that the correct specification screening seems to approach those identified by ge- of the proper cell type is a function of both the level of netic screens. In fact, several small molecules pheno- hedgehog to which they are exposed and the timing of copy specific genetic mutations. the exposure [26]. To do this, they treated embryos with**

already been identified, what additional value will be demonstrated that the cell identities of the myotome added by identifying chemical modifiers of develop- are altered by the resulting changes in the timing and ment? Having temporal control over disruption of devel- strength of hedgehog signaling. Such an analysis would opmental pathways is one significant benefit, especially not have been possible without the temporal and quantisince development is inherently such a time-dependent tative control offered by the small molecule cyclopamprocess. Signaling pathways involved in early develop- ine. It is probable that small molecules discovered by ment often perform additional roles at later stages of whole-organism screening in zebrafish will, like cyclodevelopment. The sonic hedgehog pathway, for exam- pamine, be useful tools for dissecting other aspects of ple, is believed to be involved in development of dozens vertebrate development. One such molecule has already

Several of the compounds discovered by small mole- invaluable for determining the role of the hedgehog Given that thousands of developmental mutants have cyclopamine at various times and concentrations and been useful for studying the mechanism of determining Success has also been achieved generating zebrafish **heart chamber orientation during development [27]. models of infection, and there may be advantages to**

molecule developmental screens is the current difficulty of a whole organism. Some infectious pathogens cannot of identifying molecular targets for novel compounds. be cultured outside of a host, and a zebrafish model Once a small molecule is identified that disrupts a devel- may allow these pathogens to be subjected to highopmental process of interest, no systematic process throughput chemical screening. Furthermore, screening for exists for identifying the responsible target. Several ap- antimicrobials in an organismal context would allow seproaches to small molecule target identification have lection of compounds with activity against the microbe proven successful in the past, including candidate gene but without undue toxicity to the host. approaches, expression cloning, and affinity chroma- Two recent papers highlight the potential of zebrafish tography using immobilized small molecules [28]. How- for modeling infectious diseases. Davis et al. demonever, not every approach works in every situation, and strated that zebrafish embryos can be readily infected selection of the appropriate approach often requires trial with *Mycobacterium marinum***, a close relative of the and error. If a systematic, reliable means of identifying agent that causes tuberculosis in humans,** *Mycobacte***small molecule targets can be developed, the ability of** *rium tuberculosis* **[35]. In zebrafish, the mycobacteria developmental biology to benefit from the discovery of cause chronic infection of macrophages and result in chemical probes will be greatly enhanced. Several meth- formation of tuberculous granulomas exhibiting many ods for systematizing target identification have been of the hallmarks of tuberculosis. Granuloma-specific proposed, including synthesis of chemical libraries with mycobacterium genes are also activated, and the infecpreattached linkers. One such library was used to iden- tion reliably results in death of the zebrafish by 9 days tify a small molecule that affects zebrafish brain devel- post infection. Mycobacteria engineered to expresses opment [29]. The preattached linker allowed facile gen- green fluorescent protein were used, making it easy to eration of an affinity matrix and biochemical purification observe progression of the infection. Van Der Sar et of a protein binding partner. al. performed similar experiments using DsRed-labeled**

mental biological research, it may be possible to use blood vessel epithelial cells and is ultimately lethal. In zebrafish screens to identify lead compounds with ther- both examples, it is easy to envision high-throughput apeutic potential. If human diseases can be accurately screening for compounds that prevent progression of modeled in zebrafish, chemical screens using zebrafish the infection, either by observing the fluorescently ladisease models as substrates could be used to identify beled pathogen directly or by selecting compounds that compounds that ameliorate the disease phenotypes. permit survival of the zebrafish host beyond the time of Importantly, even diseases without a known, druggable expected lethality. target may be amenable to this approach, because no One question that remains to be answered is whether prior assumptions about the mechanism of disease small molecules that modify a disease phenotype in

been developed and are reviewed elsewhere [30–32]. that several drugs with known effects in humans cause Most of these models fit within one of three categories. analogous effects in zebrafish. In one study, Milan et al. In the first, the human disease and the zebrafish model exposed zebrafish to 23 drugs known to lengthen a share the same phenotypic manifestation and are known portion of the cardiac cycle in humans known as the to share the same underlying cause. For example, hu- QT interval [37]. Of the 23 drugs, 22 also caused an mans with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia and analogous prolongation of the cardiac cycle in zebrafish. zebrafish *violet beauregarde* **mutants both have arterio- Other drugs with similar activities in fish and humans venous malformations and share mutations in the activin include cholesterol synthesis blockers, vasodilators, anreceptor-like kinase 1 gene [33]. Disease models in this giogenesis inhibitors, and anticoagulants, as reviewed category are obvious candidates for zebrafish-based elsewhere [38]. Therefore, it appears that drug binding drug lead discovery. In the second category, human and sites are generally well conserved between humans and fish share similar disease phenotypes but are not known zebrafish, and many compounds that are active in zebrato share the same underlying cause. The zebrafish** *grid-* **fish may have similar activities in humans. This fact com***lock* **mutation, for example, causes a malformation of bined with the existence of good zebrafish disease modthe aorta that is similar in many ways to coarctation of els suggests that zebrafish-based screening for new the aorta in humans [34]. However, because the cause drug leads may be possible. Several such screens are of coarctation of the aorta in humans is not known, it is currently underway. unclear whether the underlying defect in humans and fish is the same. In the final category, human and zebra- High-Content Characterization fish share the same genetic defect but exhibit different of Chemical Libraries phenotypic manifestations. Even models falling within In addition to their use for small molecule screening this third category may be amenable to zebrafish small itself, zebrafish assays can play supporting roles in the molecule screens, because small molecules that modify process of small molecule discovery. Because zebrafish the surrogate zebrafish phenotype may be effective at assays are "high-content" assays, a single embryo can**

One major obstacle that may limit the utility of small screening for antimicrobial compounds in the context

Salmonella typhimurium **and demonstrated that infec-Zebrafish Screens for Drug Discovery tion progresses much as in established murine models Beyond discovery of small molecule probes for funda- [36]. The bacterium multiplies in macrophages and at**

amelioration are necessary. zebrafish will have similar activity in humans. That ques-Many diverse zebrafish disease models have already tion has not been answered, but it has been shown

modifying the real phenotype in humans. provide a great deal of information about the molecule

Figure 4. High-Throughput Assays of Cardiovascular Physiology

(A) Automated video microscopy captures 15 s videos of zebrafish hearts in 96- or 384 well plates. Data from one 384-well plate can be acquired in less than 2 hr. Light intensity in the region of the heart is plotted as a function of time.

(B) Computer algorithms automatically extract physiological data from the videos, including heart rate.

(C) High-throughput screens can be used to detect individual compounds or combinations that affect cardiovascular physiology [37]. In this example, a QT prolonging interaction between erythromycin and cisapride was detected. Atrial rate is plotted against increasing doses of cisapride. Erythromycin concentrations are indicated in the inset. Erythromycin and cisapride alone have little effect on heart rate, but together they cause severe bradycardia. (Images courtesy of David Milan.)

to which it is exposed. As such, zebrafish assays are prolongation [37]. With few exceptions, individual drugs excellent for characterizing collections of compounds and drug combinations that cause QT prolongation in at various stages in the compound discovery process. humans also cause bradycardia in zebrafish and can be This characterization can take the form of testing librar- detected by the automated zebrafish assay (Figure 4). ies for functional diversity and biological activity. Par- As with most emerging technologies, more experimenticularly after synthesis of novel chemical libraries via tation and practical application will have to be performed combinatorial chemistry, it is important to gauge the before the real utility of zebrafish toxicity screening can likelihood that the libraries contain compounds with bio- be determined. However, the ability to perform wholelogical activity. Because disrupting any one of thou- animal studies rapidly, inexpensively, and in large numsands of gene products in the zebrafish causes an ob- bers is an appealing possibility. servable phenotype, testing even a relatively small number of library compounds is often sufficient to assess a li- Conclusions brary's potential for biological activity. Zebrafish devel- In vitro, nonorganismal screens are likely to remain a opmental assays have been used in this way for prelimi- mainstay of small molecule discovery efforts, particunary characterization of several novel combinatorial larly those directed at well-understood biological prolibraries [39–41]. cesses. However, organism-based screens address

tion of compounds that cause unacceptable toxicity can discovery, notably the lack of appropriate physiological save much wasted time and expense. Inexpensive, high- context provided by in vitro assays and the difficulty of throughput zebrafish toxicity assays can be used to approaching biological problems for which previously eliminate toxic compounds before time and money are validated targets do not exist. Drug discovery efforts invested in their further development. This can occur may benefit from the increased efficiency of combining prior to screening by testing whole libraries or after screening and animal tests into one step. Zebrafish screening as a means of prioritizing hits for further devel- screens may also impact drug discovery by allowing opment. The zebrafish is becoming a well-accepted diseases to be tackled that were previously intractable model for toxicologic pathology [42], and at least one by target-based methods. company has been founded with the intent to provide At present, small molecule discovery is largely the zebrafish screening services for drug toxicity profiling. purview of the pharmaceutical industry. Perhaps the High-throughput assays for specific types of toxicity most significant contribution of organism-based screenhave also been developed. For example, prolongation ing will be in helping to increase the feasibility and ap**of the QT interval is a common culprit behind abandon- peal of performing small molecule discovery in aca**ment of previously promising drug leads. Milan et al. demic settings. Far from requiring the equipment and **developed an automated system for measuring zebra- automation of a high-throughput screening facility, any** fish heart rate data in 96- and 384-well plates and lab with a few fish tanks, a chemical library, and a micro**showed that the system can be used to predict QT scope can perform a zebrafish chemical screen. And**

During drug discovery and development, early detec- some of the shortcomings of modern small molecule

because understanding a biological process is an aim, 17. Stickney, H.L., Schmutz, J., Woods, I.G., Holtzer, C.C., Dickson, not a prerequisite, of organism-based screens, the kinds
of biological questions that can be approached are lim-
ited only by an investigator's imagination. Therefore, like
ited only by an investigator's imagination. There **traditional genetic screens in model organisms, zebra- zebrafish by nuclear transfer from long-term-cultured cells. Nat. fish small molecule screens hold great potential as a Biotechnol.** *20***, 795–799.** mechanism for discovering novel biological pathways. **19. Nasevicius, A., and Ekker, S.C. (2000).** Effective tar
With luck such efforts will lead both to increased biolog- "^{knockdown' in zebrafish. Nat. Genet. 26, 216–220} **With luck, such efforts will lead both to increased biolog- 'knockdown' in zebrafish. Nat. Genet.** *²⁶***, 216–220.**

ing images and Stanley Shaw, Jordan Shin, and Ashok Srinivasan zebrafish, Danio rerio. Development *123***, 1–36. for helpful comments on the manuscript. 22. Driever, W., Solnica-Krezel, L., Schier, A.F., Neuhauss, S.C.,**

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- **Nat. Genet.** *33***, 285–293. 31. Amatruda, J.F., Shepard, J.L., Stern, H.M., and Zon, L.I. (2002).**
- **11. Gajewski, M., Sieger, D., Alt, B., Leve, C., Hans, S., Wolff, C., Zebrafish as a cancer model system. Cancer Cell** *1***, 229–231. of cyclic her1 gene expression are differentially regulated in the drug discovery. Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Dev.** *6***, 218–223. presomitic mesoderm of zebrafish. Development** *130***, 4269– 33. Roman, B.L., Pham, V.N., Lawson, N.D., Kulik, M., Childs, S.,**
- **12. Liu, N.A., Huang, H., Yang, Z., Herzog, W., Hammerschmidt, M., leider, R.J., et al. (2002). Disruption of acvrl1 increases endotheand regulation in transgenic zebrafish. Mol. Endocrinol.** *17***, 3009–3019.**
- **(2000). Transgenic zebrafish as sentinels for aquatic pollution. in the zebrafish. Nat. Med.** *1***, 1143–1147.**
-
- **15. Farber, S.A., Pack, M., Ho, S.Y., Johnson, I.D., Wagner, D.S., B.J., Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C.M., and Bitter, W. (2003). Zebraand Halpern, M.E. (2001). Genetic analysis of digestive physiol- nella typhimurium infections. Cell. Microbiol.** *5***, 601–611.**
- **struction of a zebrafish cDNA microarray: gene expression pro- 1358. Res. Commun.** *296***, 1134–1142. ceutical catwalk. Bioessays** *25***, 904–912.**
-
-
-
- ical insight and to the discovery of small molecules for
the treatment of human disease.
ame. (2002). Target-selected inactivation of the zebrafish raginativation of the zebrafish raginativation of the zebrafish raginativa
- **21. Haffter, P., Granato, M., Brand, M., Mullins, M.C., Hammer-Acknowledgments schmidt, M., Kane, D.A., Odenthal, J., van Eeden, F.J., Jiang, Y.J., Heisenberg, C.P., et al. (1996). The identification of genes The authors thank Shuo Lin, Ning-Ai Liu, and David Milan for provid- with unique and essential functions in the development of the**
- **Malicki, J., Stemple, D.L., Stainier, D.Y., Zwartkruis, F., Abdelilah, S., Rangini, Z., et al. (1996). A genetic screen for mutations References affecting embryogenesis in zebrafish. Development** *123***, 37–46.**
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
- 1. Mueller, R.L., and Scheider, S. (1994). History of drugs for throm.

2. Reelers on Excounting U.S. and Scheider (2000). Small molecule developmental screens reveal the logic

tuture. Circulation 89, 432–449.

2. Keeler
	-
	-
	- **Rohr, K.B., and Tautz, D. (2003). Anterior and posterior waves 32. Rubinstein, A.L. (2003). Zebrafish: from disease modeling to**
		- **4278. Lekven, A.C., Garrity, D.M., Moon, R.T., Fishman, M.C., Lech**lial cell number in zebrafish cranial vessels. Development 129 ,
- **959–966. 34. Weinstein, B.M., Stemple, D.L., Driever, W., and Fishman, M.C. 13. Carvan, M.J., 3rd, Dalton, T.P., Stuart, G.W., and Nebert, D.W. (1995). Gridlock, a localized heritable vascular patterning defect**
- **Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.** *919***, 133–147. 35. Davis, J.M., Clay, H., Lewis, J.L., Ghori, N., Herbomel, P., and 14. Mattingly, C.J., McLachlan, J.A., and Toscano, W.A., Jr. (2001). Ramakrishnan, L. (2002). Real-time visualization of mycobacte-Green fluorescent protein (GFP) as a marker of aryl hydrocarbon rium-macrophage interactions leading to initiation of granuloma** formation in zebrafish embryos. Immunity 17, 693-702.
	- **Environ. Health Perspect.** *109***, 845–849. 36. Van Der Sar, A.M., Musters, R.J., Van Eeden, F.J., Appelmelk, Dosch, R., Mullins, M.C., Hendrickson, H.S., Hendrickson, E.K., fish embryos as a model host for the real time analysis of Salmo-**
- **ogy using fluorescent phospholipid reporters. Science** *292***, 37. Milan, D.J., Peterson, T.A., Ruskin, J.N., Peterson, R.T., and 1385–1388. MacRae, C.A. (2003). Drugs that induce repolarization abnor-16. Ton, C., Stamatiou, D., Dzau, V.J., and Liew, C.C. (2002). Con- malities cause bradycardia in zebrafish. Circulation** *107***, 1355–**
	- **filing of the zebrafish during development. Biochem. Biophys. 38. Langheinrich, U. (2003). Zebrafish: a new model on the pharma-**
- **39. Spring, D.R., Krishnan, S., Blackwell, H.E., and Schreiber, S.L. (2002). Diversity-oriented synthesis of biaryl-containing medium rings using a one bead/one stock solution platform. J. Am. Chem. Soc.** *124***, 1354–1363.**
- **40. Sternson, S.M., Louca, J.B., Wong, J.C., and Schreiber, S.L. (2001). Split-pool synthesis of 1,3-dioxanes leading to arrayed stock solutions of single compounds sufficient for multiple phenotypic and protein-binding assays. J. Am. Chem. Soc.** *123***, 1740–1747.**
- **41. Moon, H.S., Jacobson, E.M., Khersonsky, S.M., Luzung, M.R., Walsh, D.P., Xiong, W., Lee, J.W., Parikh, P.B., Lam, J.C., Kang, T.W., et al. (2002). A novel microtubule destabilizing entity from orthogonal synthesis of triazine library and zebrafish embryo screening. J. Am. Chem. Soc.** *124***, 11608–11609.**
- **42. Law, J.M. (2003). Issues related to the use of fish models in toxicologic pathology: session introduction. Toxicol. Pathol.** *3***, 49–52.**